

# AN ADAPTIVE ADDITIVE INFLATION SCHEME FOR ENSEMBLE KALMAN FILTERS

Matthias Sommer<sup>1</sup>, Tijana Janjić<sup>1,2</sup>

- 1) Hans-Ertel-Centre for Weather Research, Data Assimilation Branch, Germany  
2) Deutscher Wetterdienst, Offenbach, Germany

## OBJECTIVES AND SCIENTIFIC QUESTIONS

### Objectives


In atmospheric data assimilation, the consideration of model error of multiple scales and processes is important in order to associate an optimal weight to background and observations. Besides the question of how to estimate the model error covariance matrix, also its implementation in Ensemble Kalman Filters is an important issue, which shall be addressed here.

### Scientific questions

- How does the skill of an Ensemble Kalman filter depend on the rank of the model error covariance matrix?
- Is it feasible to choose the rank of the model error covariance matrix in a flexible way?

## THE LORENZ (1996) MODEL

- Slow time scale ('Resolved processes'):  $\partial_t z_i = \underbrace{z_{i-1}(z_{i+1} - z_{i-2})}_{\text{'Advection'}} - \underbrace{z_i}_{\text{'Dissipation'}} + \underbrace{F}_{\text{'Forcing'}} - \frac{hc}{b} \sum_{j=1}^n y_{j,i}$
- Fast time scales ('Unresolved processes'):  $\partial_t y_{j,i} = cy_{j+1,i}(y_{j-1,i} - y_{j+2,i}) - cy_{j,i} + \frac{hc}{b} z_i$
- Model error given through unresolved processes



## MODEL ERROR

$$\underbrace{x_f^{k+1} - x_t^{k+1}}_{\text{Total error}} = M(x_a^k) - M_t(x_t^k)$$

$$= \underbrace{M(x_a^k) - M(x_t^k)}_{=: \zeta_k} + \underbrace{M(x_t^k) - M_t(x_t^k)}_{=: \eta_k}$$

- $\zeta^k \sim \mathcal{N}(0, P_b)$  Error due to initial conditions
- $P_b = \text{cov}(M(x_a^k) - \overline{M(x_a^k)})$  Sampling by ensemble
- $\eta^k \sim \mathcal{N}(0, Q)$  Model error. *Ad hoc* estimate

### Sources of model error:


- Unresolved processes
- Imperfect parametrizations
- Inaccurate model parameters

### Estimates for model error:

- Differences of forecasts with varying resolution
- Short-term tendencies
- Random states-climate

### Properties of and/or assumptions on model error:

- Various scales involved
- May lead to filter divergence in DA
- Correlated in time?
- Biased?
- Gaussian?
- Correlated to errors due to initial conditions?



## ADAPTIVE ADDITIVE INFLATION

- Conventional additive inflation scheme:  $\tilde{X} = \frac{X}{\sqrt{n_{ens}-1}} + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{n_{ens}-1}} \Rightarrow \tilde{P} = \tilde{X}\tilde{X}^T = \tilde{P}_b + Q + \frac{X\eta^T + \eta X^T}{n_{ens}-1} \Rightarrow \text{rank}(\tilde{P}_b) \leq n_{ens}-1$
- Alternative: Concatenate error samples:  $\tilde{X} = \left( \frac{X}{\sqrt{n_{ens}-1}}, \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{n_{synth}-1}} \right) \Rightarrow \tilde{P}_b = \tilde{X}\tilde{X}^T = P_b + Q \Rightarrow \text{rank}(\tilde{P}_b) \leq n_{ens} + n_{synth} - 2$

### Application to LETKF:

$$\bar{x}_a = \bar{x}_b + \tilde{P}_a \tilde{X}_b^T H^T R^{-1} (y_o - \bar{y}_b)$$

$$\tilde{P}_a = \left( \mathbb{I}_{n_{ens} + n_{synth}} + \tilde{X}^T H^T R^{-1} H \tilde{X} \right)^{-1}$$

$$X_a = \tilde{X} T = X_b T_b + X_{synth} T_{synth}$$

$$T T^T = \left( \mathbb{I} + \tilde{X}^T H^T R^{-1} H \tilde{X} \right)^{-1} \hat{=} \tilde{P}_a$$

$\hat{=} \text{CDC}^T$

### Resampling:

$$X'_a = X_a \Psi$$

$$\Psi \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1), \Psi \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{ens} + n_{synth}, n_{ens}}$$


### Remove bias:

$$\Psi \rightarrow \Psi \left( \mathbb{I} - \frac{e e^T}{n_{ens}} \right)$$


### Preserve mean variance:

$$\Psi \rightarrow \Psi \frac{\text{mean}(\text{var}(X_a X_a^T))}{\text{mean}(\text{var}(X_a \Psi \Psi^T X_a^T))}$$


n\_synth=10




n\_synth=100



True covariance



## RESULTS (COUPLING ≙ MODEL ERROR)



Weak coupling (h = 0.2)

- With coupling: Additive inflation needed to avoid filter divergence


Intermediate coupling (h = 0.5)

- A higher rank of model error covariance matrix...
  - \* reduces the minimal analysis error
  - \* moves the minimum towards ...
    - larger localization (since less spurious correlations are present)
    - smaller multiplicative inflation


Strong coupling (h = 1.0)

- The computational cost is...
  - \* equivalent to a correspondingly larger ensemble in the analysis step
  - \* zero in the forecast step








n\_synth=0 (No additive inflation)



n\_synth=10 (Conventional additive inflation)



n\_synth=20/50/100 (Flexible inflation)



## SUMMARY

- In conventional additive inflation only few effects of model error are considered
- By concatenating 'synthetic' ensemble members more degrees of freedom can be taken into account
- These 'synthetic' ensemble members are resampled onto the 'real' members and not forecast causing no additional computational cost in the propagation step
- Experiments show that a higher rank model error covariance matrix leads to reduced analysis RMSE, weaker multiplicative inflation, and higher localization radii

## LITERATURE

- Thomas M Hamill and Jeffrey S Whitaker. Accounting for the error due to unresolved scales in ensemble data assimilation: A comparison of different approaches. Monthly weather review, 133 (11):3132-3147, 2005.
- Edward N Lorenz. Predictability: A problem partly solved. In Proc. Seminar on predictability, volume 1, 1996.
- Brian R Hunt, Eric J Kostelich, and Istvan Szunyogh. Efficient data assimilation for spatiotemporal chaos: A local ensemble transform Kalman filter. Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena, 230(1):112-126, 2007.
- Elias D Nino-Ruiz and Adrian Sandu. Ensemble Kalman filter implementations based on shrinkage covariance matrix estimation. Ocean Dynamics, pages 1-17, 2015.